




Economic burden of lives lost due to COVID-19 in California State

Journal of **Comparative Effectiveness Research**

Michelle Zheng¹, Briana Lui², Axell-Giovanni A Komlan³, Christina R Bonaparte⁴, Robert S White² & Marguerite M Hoyler^{*,2}

¹Cornell University, College of Human Ecology, Martha Van Rensselaer Hall, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

²Department of Anesthesiology, New York-Presbyterian/Weill Cornell Medical Center, 525 East 68th Street, Box 124, New York, NY 10065, USA

³University of Notre Dame, College of Science, Candidate for Bachelor of Science in Science Preprofessional Studies, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

⁴Brown University, Candidate for Bachelor of Arts in Public Health with Honors, Providence, RI 02912, USA

*Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1 401 864 9181; mam9508@nyp.org

Aim: To examine the economic impact of lives lost due to the coronavirus pandemic across California and Los Angeles (LA) County. **Patients & methods:** Years of potential life lost (YPLL) and the value of statistical life (VSL) were calculated using mortality data from the California Department of Public Health, the LA County Department of Public Health and the Social Security Administration websites. **Results:** In California and LA County, the average YPLL per person were 14.3 and 14.7 and the VSLs were approximately US\$219.9 billion and \$82.7 billion, respectively. YPLL and VSL were greatest for Latinos aged 50–64. **Conclusion:** The economic burden of lives lost due to the coronavirus across California and LA County is substantial. Latinos aged 50–64 were most affected.

First draft submitted: 12 October 2021; Accepted for publication: 16 February 2022; Published online: 10 March 2022

Keywords: California • COVID-19 • health economics • value of statistical life • years of potential life lost

The COVID-19 pandemic has created an unprecedented, adverse and indelible impact on countless individuals, family units and communities worldwide. In the USA, as of August 2021, there were a reported 35,392,284 infections and 612,958 mortalities, and 9.6 million American workers lost their jobs [1]. Racial minorities and socioeconomically disadvantaged groups have borne the brunt of the pandemic's most adverse economic outcomes. According to the National Low Income Housing Coalition, as of October 2020, 1 in 4 adults have struggled to pay their bills since the start of the pandemic, including 43% of Black adults, 37% of Hispanic adults and 46% of lower-income adults [2]. Black and Hispanic workers also make up greater shares of the front-line workforce, which puts them and their families at risk for contracting COVID-19 [3].

Excess mortality due to COVID-19 has had a significant economic impact on the United States, as measured by metrics such as years of potential life lost (YPLL) and the value of statistical life (VSL) [4]. YPLL is used to estimate premature mortality and the number of years lost from a predefined age, such as life expectancy, with a higher YPLL suggestive of premature mortality [5]. VSL is used by economists as a standard measure to evaluate the financial costs and cost-effectiveness of potentially life-saving and life-prolonging policies and interventions [6].

California has the largest state economy [7] and the largest, most diverse population in the USA, with over 39 million residents [8]. As of August 2021, California reported the highest number of COVID-19 cases and deaths in the United States, with nearly 3.9 million cases, or 11.0% of the national total, and approximately 10.5% of total deaths (63,891 deaths) [9]. Los Angeles (LA) County carried the majority of these cases (1.4 million, or 32.5%) and deaths (23,380, or 36.6%) [10].

Examining the economic burden of COVID-19 mortality in California provides an important view of the cost of the pandemic in a major US economy. The aim of this paper is to estimate the YPLL and the VSL to better understand the economic cost of COVID-19 mortality in the state of California and in LA County, as well as across racial and ethnic minority groups in those regions.




Figure 1. Years of potential life lost by age range for Los Angeles County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena.




Figure 2. Value of statistical life by age range for Los Angeles County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena. USD: US dollars.

Methods

Data acquisition

Cumulative state- and county-level coronavirus mortality data were retrieved from the California Department of Public Health and the LA County Department of Public Health websites on 28 July 2021 and 30 July 2021,

Table 1. Total years of potential life lost and value of statistical life for California and Los Angeles County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena.

Deaths	California			Los Angeles County (excluding Long Beach and Pasadena)		
	63,891			23,380		
	Total YPLL	Average YPLL (per person)	Total VSL (USD)	Total YPLL	Average YPLL (per person)	Total VSL (USD)
Base case	913,682	14.30	219,901,208,700	343,186	14.68	82,596,749,260
Lower bound	770,352	12.06	185,405,180,200	249,297	10.66	59,999,836,060
Upper bound	1,126,069	17.62	271,017,717,700	465,948	19.93	112,142,390,100

USD: US dollars; VSL: Value of statistical life; YPLL: Years of potential life lost.

respectively [11,10]. Extracted data included all known COVID-19 death counts by age range dating back to 1 March 2020 for the state of California and LA County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena. Long Beach and Pasadena each have their own respective health departments and are not served under the LA County Department of Public Health [10]. Mortality data by age range were further stratified by race and ethnicity for the state of California [12].

Period life expectancy was extracted from the most recent (2017) actuarial life tables from the Social Security Administration website [13]. Period life expectancy is defined as the average number of years of life remaining if a group of persons at that age were to experience the mortality rates for 2017 over the course of their remaining life [13]. Acquired data included the average number of years of life remaining for males and females from birth to 119 years of age. The actuarial life tables reflect values for the Social Security area population, which includes residents of the 50 states and the District of Columbia (adjusted for net census undercount); civilian residents of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands; federal civilian employees and persons in the US Armed Forces abroad and their dependents; non-citizens living abroad who are insured for Social Security benefits; and all other US citizens abroad [13]. All extracted data were publicly available and did not require approval from the institutional review board.

Statistical analysis

The baseline age of death was calculated by using the median or higher of two midpoint values for each age range (e.g., 11 for the age range 5–17). The age ranges for California State were 0–4, 5–17, 18–34, 35–49, 50–59, 60–64, 65–69, 70–74, 75–79 and 80 and over. The age ranges for LA County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena, were 0–4, 12–17, 18–29, 30–49, 50–64, 65–79 and 80 and over. For California State COVID death counts further stratified by race and ethnicity, the age ranges were 0–17, 18–34, 35–49, 50–64, 65–79 and 80 and over. For 80 and over, the age range was set to 80–100 with a midpoint of 90.

The primary outcomes analyzed by this study were the YPLL and the VSL due to COVID-19 in California State and LA County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena. The secondary outcomes were YPLL and VSL further stratified by race and ethnicity for California State only. The number of COVID-19 deaths in each age range was multiplied by the average period life expectancy for males and females at the midpoint of each age range to estimate the YPLL. The VSL for each age range was calculated by multiplying the YPLL by the population average value of statistical life year (VSLY). The VSLY was determined to be US\$240,676 based on previously published literature and a VSLY methodology that incorporated age range by decile and income quintile [14]. This VSL could be used by policymakers to help estimate the economic burden of premature deaths due to the coronavirus pandemic and evaluate the cost-benefit ratio of different risk-reduction interventions. The YPLL and VSL values of each age range were summated to determine a total YPLL and VSL value. Total YPLL was then divided by the total number of deaths (including any unknown or missing deaths) to determine the average YPLL per person. Upper and lower limit YPLL and VSL sensitivity analyses for California State and LA County were calculated by replacing the midpoint value of the average period life expectancy with the upper and lower end of each respective age range [4].

Results

A total of 63,891 coronavirus deaths had been reported across the state of California as of 28 July 2021. These deaths resulted in an estimated 913,682 YPLL (range: 770,352–1,126,069) and approximately US\$219.9 billion VSL (range: US\$185.4–\$271 billion) (Table 1, Figure 3 & 4). The mean YPLL per person was 14.3 (range: 12.1–17.6). Deaths from the 35–49, 50–59 and 60–64 age ranges contributed to almost half of the total YPLL, at




Figure 3. Years of potential life lost by age range for California State.




Figure 4. Value of statistical life by age range for California State.
USD: US dollars.




Figure 5. Years of potential life lost by race and ethnicity among different age ranges for California State.




Figure 6. Value of statistical life by race and ethnicity among different age ranges for California State.

14.6%, 20.4% and 13.8%, respectively. By race and ethnicity, Latinos accounted for over half of the total YPLL and VSL, at 56.5% and 64.9%, respectively, followed by Whites, Asians and African Americans (Table 4, Figures 5& 6).

In LA County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena, coronavirus deaths totaled 23,380 as of 30 July 2021. These deaths contributed to an estimated 343,186 YPLL (range: 249,297–465,948) and approximately US\$82.7 billion VSL (range: US\$59.6–\$112.1 billion). The average YPLL per person was 14.7 (range: 10.7–19.9) (Table 1). Fatalities from the 50–64 and 65–79 age ranges contributed to more than half of the total YPLL and VSL, at 36% and 32.7%, respectively (Figures 1 & 2).

Discussion

This study estimated the VSL lost and the YPLL in California State and LA County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena, from the beginning of the pandemic (1 March 2020) through July 2021. Across California, the monetary value of lives lost exceeded US\$219.9 billion, with LA County accounting for roughly US\$82.7 billion, as of 28 July

Table 2. Total years of potential life lost and value of statistical life by age group for Los Angeles County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena.

Age group	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)
<5 years old	1	77	18,520,018
12–17	4	256	61,694,886
18–29	151	8372	2,014,986,404
30–49	1532	62,069	14,938,513,830
50–64	4839	123,370	29,692,271,530
65–79	8002	112,428	27,058,745,400
80+	8293	36,614	8,812,013,590
Missing/unknown	558	–	–
TOTAL	23,380	343,186	82,596,745,658

USD: US dollars; VSL: Value of statistical life; YPLL: Years of potential life lost.

Table 3. Total years of potential life lost and value of statistical life by age group for California State.

Age group	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)
<5	7	539	129,640,127
5–17	21	1429	343,887,496
18–34	918	49,173	11,834,681,520
35–49	3459	133,760	32,192,708,640
50–59	6848	185,957	44,755,492,830
60–64	5886	126,490	30,443,140,930
65–69	6795	120,034	28,889,224,760
70–74	7442	104,560	25,165,106,630
75–79	7606	81,803	19,687,905,710
80+	24,901	109,938	26,459,417,630
Missing/unknown	8	–	–
TOTAL	63,891	913,682	219,901,206,273

USD: US dollars; VSL: Value of statistical life; YPLL: Years of potential life lost.

2021. Among all California counties, LA County was disproportionately impacted, with nearly five-times as many deaths as the next highest county [15]. These findings may reflect a combination of factors, including population density, poverty, race and ethnicity, homelessness and high household densities in LA County compared with other areas of the state [16]. Analysis by age range determined that older individuals accounted for most of the monetary cost of lives lost due to this pandemic, in spite of the fact that older populations tend to have fewer years of life remaining. These findings are consistent with prior literature demonstrating that older individuals over 50, and especially over 60, face elevated mortality risk due to COVID-19 [17].

Sub-analysis by race and ethnicity further indicated that certain racial and ethnic minority groups were more heavily impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Latinos comprise 39.4% of the population of California State but accounted for the greatest economic burden of lives lost (56.5% and 64.9% of YPLL and VSL respectively) [9]. They had the highest total YPLL and VSL, at 516,288 and US\$124.3 billion, respectively. Similarly, African Americans make up only 6.5% of California's population yet had a higher total YPLL (62,010) than Asians and Whites, who account for 15.5% and 36.5% of California's population, respectively [18]. These differences may be due to a combination of environmental and health factors. Individuals of color are more likely to have poor access to healthcare and underlying health conditions, such as asthma, diabetes and hypertension, which increase their risk of COVID-19 complications and fatality [19]. Compared with Whites and Asians, Latinos and African Americans are more likely to live in more crowded households with insufficient space for social distancing and self-isolation [20]. Furthermore, individuals of color are more likely to work in service and public-facing jobs that do not offer the ability to telework, thereby increasing their vulnerability and susceptibility to COVID-19 infection [19]. Latinos and African Americans are also more likely to live in poverty [21]. Prior literature has identified an association between low socioeconomic status, crowded households and an inability to telework and a disproportionate COVID-19

Table 4. Total years of potential life lost and value of statistical life by race and ethnicity among different age groups for California State.

Race/ethnicity	Ages 0–17				Ages 18–34				Ages 35–49				Ages 50–64				Ages 65–79				Ages 80+			
	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)	n of deaths	YPLL	VSL (USD)
Latino	14	980	235,963,564	624	33,425	8,044,489,403	2473	95,631	23,016,064,900	8053	205,311	49,413,486,790	10,515	147,736	35,556,449,370	7521	33,205	7,991,698,325						
White	5	350	84,272,701	90	4822	1,160,262,895	351	13,573	3,266,736,263	2183	55,656	13,394,963,580	6269	88,079	21,198,609,710	10,894	48,097	11,575,795,980						
Asian	3	210	50,563,621	54	2893	696,157,737	210	8121	1,954,457,593	982	25,036	6,025,585,997	2415	33,931	8,166,317,187	3880	17,130	4,122,828,015						
African American	3	210	50,563,621	79	4232	1,018,452,985	218	8430	2,028,913,121	863	22,002	5,295,397,877	1488	20,906	5,031,668,726	1411	6230	1,499,306,786						
Multi-race	1	70	16,854,540	10	536	128,918,099	65	2514	604,951,160	163	4156	1,000,173,643	361	5072	1,220,720,706	374	1651	397,406,618						
American Indian	0	0	0	5	268	64,459,050	13	503	120,990,232	56	1428	343,617,939	84	1180	284,045,815	73	322	77,568,671						
Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander	1	70	16,854,540	13	696	167,593,529	32	1237	297,822,109	104	2651	638,147,601	130	1827	439,594,714	67	296	71,193,164						
Other	1	70	16,854,540	22	1178	283,619,819	48	1856	446,733,164	159	4054	975,629,505	300	4215	1,014,449,340	308	1360	327,276,038						
Missing/unknown	0			21			49			171			281											
TOTAL	28	1960	471,927,127	918	48,050	11,563,953,517	3459	131,865	31,736,668,542	12,734	320,294	77,087,002,932	21,843	302,946	72,911,855,568	24,901	108,291	26,063,073,597						

USD: US dollars; VSL: Value of statistical life; YPLL: Years of potential life lost.

positivity and mortality rate among communities of color in California [22,23]. Specifically, prior literature has shown an increased rate of hospitalizations among individuals of color due to COVID-19, even after adjusting for comorbidities, highlighting the impact of social inequality during this pandemic [23].

With nearly 40 million residents, California has the largest population of any US state; it is also among the youngest and most diverse, with more Latino than White residents since 2014 [21]. California also accounts for 15% of the US economy [24]. In this context, the magnitude of the financial burden of COVID-19 mortality in California, as well its disproportionate impact on non-White communities, is particularly significant and concerning.

The results of the present study are consistent with similar disparities analyzed in New York, Ohio and Illinois. In New York and Ohio, older individuals bore the greatest economic burden of life lost, with individuals over the age of 60 experiencing the greatest impact [4,25]. In Chicago, Illinois, and in Cook County in general, Black and Hispanic residents experienced a disproportionate COVID-19 burden and premature loss of life [26,27]. In Cook County specifically, Latinos had a YPLL rate 4.8-times greater than Asians, the least affected group [26]. In Chicago, geospatial analysis identified COVID-19 mortality hot spots in neighborhoods of color and low socioeconomic status as well as higher rates of chronic comorbidities [27]. While the current data cannot be directly extrapolated to other states, the current findings are worrisome for similar trends across the USA.

The current study has several limitations. Most notably, the values included here were current as of July 2021; they do not reflect the full extent of the pandemic, as new fatality reports are confirmed and updated daily, particularly in the setting of new and more transmissible variants [28]. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has led to an excess of non-COVID deaths, related to factors such as delays in or avoidance of medical care, drug use and mental health crises [29,30]. As a result, the calculated YPLL and VSLs are surely an underestimation of the current economic losses and loss of life experienced across California and LA County due to COVID-19. Additionally, some data were incomplete at the time of analysis: 566 fatality reports listed an unknown or 'missing' age (Tables 2 & 3), precluding calculation and inclusion of YPLL and VSL in the analyses. Another important limitation is the lack of data stratified by both age and sex. As a result, the authors used an average of the male and female period life expectancy when determining YPLL.

VSLY also has important limitations. The VSLY utilized in this paper assumed an age- and income-adjusted but otherwise standard VSL, regardless of factors such as: community preferences, values and work-related risk exposures [14,31]. Although the present approach is consistent with prior research [25], and no universally accepted mathematical model of VSLY exists, alternate models of VSLY may incorporate additional variables and arrive at different valuations [31,32]. Consequently, the results of this study should be understood as estimates of the financial value of COVID-19 risk reductions across groups, with the trends toward racial and ethnic disparities observed here likely to be more meaningful than precise values. Furthermore, while it is possible that other methodologies would yield less-pronounced racial and ethnic disparities, the current findings may, in fact, be more likely to underestimate the disparities in VSL across racial and ethnic groups, due to the higher COVID-19 exposure risks associated with many low-income jobs, which are often disproportionately held by non-White workers [3]. Finally, it has been argued that VSLY-based cost-benefit analyses should be used with caution in analyses of social distancing measures for COVID-19 [14]. However, a superior metric has not been proposed [14]. Because VSLY remains a broadly understood and accepted tool for evaluating the costs and cost-benefit of risk-reduction interventions [31], the authors feel it is an appropriate and useful strategy for evaluating the financial costs associated with COVID-related deaths.

Conclusion

The economic burden of lives lost due to the coronavirus pandemic across California and LA County, excluding Long Beach and Pasadena, is substantial. Among the various age groups, ages 50–64 bore the greatest burden of statistical value of lives lost and estimated YPLL. By race and ethnicity, Latinos experienced the greatest economic burden of lives lost. This study may help inform important policy decisions and community interventions to promote health equity amid an ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, minimize further loss of life and help plan for a post-pandemic future in California State.

Summary points

- Estimated years of potential life lost (YPLL) and value of statistical life (VSL) are two measures used to evaluate the impact of excess mortality due to COVID-19.
- The estimated YPLL and the VSL across California and Los Angeles (LA) County due to the coronavirus pandemic are substantial.
- Across California, the economic burden of lives lost has exceeded US\$220 billion, with LA County accounting for US\$83 billion, as of July 2021.
- The total YPLL across California and LA County was an estimated 913,682 and 343,186, respectively.
- Those aged 50–64 bore the greatest burden of the VSL and the estimated YPLL.
- Latinos accounted for the greatest economic burden of lives lost, with a total YPLL of 516,288 and a total VSL of US\$124 billion.
- African Americans were disproportionately impacted by COVID-19, with a higher total YPLL than Asians and Whites.
- These findings may inform important policy decisions to promote health equity amid an ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Author contributions

M Zheng, B Lui, RS White and MM Hoyler contributed to the conception and design of this project. M Zheng and B Lui conducted statistical analysis. M Zheng, B Lui, RS White and MM Hoyler interpreted the data. M Zheng, AGA Komlan and CR Bonaparte drafted the manuscript. M Zheng, B Lui, AGA Komlan, CR Bonaparte, RS White and MM Hoyler critically revised the manuscript.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Ethical conduct of research

This study used publicly available data and did not need approval from the IRB.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as: • of interest

1. Bennett J. Fewer jobs have been lost in the EU than in the US during the COVID-19 downturn. *Pew Research Center*(2021). www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2021/04/15/fewer-jobs-have-been-lost-in-the-eu-than-in-the-u-s-during-the-COVID-19-downturn/
2. New survey finds COVID-19 economic impact most severe for low-income adults and people of color. *National Low Income Housing Coalition* (2020). <https://nlihc.org/resource/new-survey-finds-COVID-19-economic-impact-most-severe-low-income-adults-and-people-color>
3. Gould E, Wilson V. Black workers face two of the most lethal preexisting conditions for coronavirus – racism and economic inequality. *Economic Policy Institute* (2020). www.epi.org/publication/black-workers-COVID/
4. Lui B, Zheng M, White R *et al.* Economic burden of lives lost due to COVID-19 in New York State. *J. Comp. Eff. Res.* 10(11), 893–897 (2021).
- **Prior study on the economic impact of COVID-19 in New York State that demonstrated a similar disproportionate impact on older individuals.**
5. Vasishtha G, Mohanty SK, Mishra US *et al.* Impact of COVID-19 infection on life expectancy, premature mortality, and DALY in Maharashtra, India. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 21(1), 343 (2021).
6. Cutler DM, Summers LH. The COVID-19 pandemic and the \$16 trillion virus. *JAMA* 324(15), 1495–1496 (2020).
7. DePietro A. 2021 US states by GDP and which states have experienced the biggest growth. *Forbes Magazine*(2021). www.forbes.com/sites/andrewdepietro/2021/08/04/2021-us-states-by-gdp-and-which-states-have-experienced-the-biggest-growth/?sh=9d2823f846c6
8. Clarke S. California is the most diverse state, report says. *US News & World Report* (2020). www.usnews.com/news/best-states/articles/2020-09-10/california-is-the-most-diverse-state-in-the-us
9. California For All. COVID-19 ethnicity, gender, & age data (2021). <https://COVID19.ca.gov/state-dashboard/#ethnicity-gender-age>
10. County of Los Angeles Public Health. LA County COVID-19 surveillance dashboard (2021). http://dashboard.publichealth.lacounty.gov/COVID19_surveillance_dashboard/

- **COVID-19 mortality data by age group were acquired from this site to estimate years of potential life lost and value of statistical life in Los Angeles County.**
- 11. California Department of Public Health. Cases and deaths associated with COVID-19 by age group in California (2021). www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/COVID-19-Cases-by-Age-Group.aspx
- **COVID-19 mortality data by age group were acquired from this site to estimate years of potential life lost and value of statistical life across California State.**
- 12. California Department of Public Health. COVID-19 race and ethnicity data (2021). www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Race-Ethnicity.aspx
- **COVID-19 mortality data by race/ethnicity were acquired from this site to estimate years of potential life lost and value of statistical life across California State.**
- 13. Social Security. Actuarial life table (2017). www.ssa.gov/oact/STATS/table4c6.html
- 14. Adler MD. What should we spend to save lives in a pandemic? A critique of the value of statistical life. *Duke Law School Public Law & Legal Theory Series No. 2020-40* (2020). https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3636550
- **Value of statistical life year was obtained from this source to calculate the value of statistical life and estimate the economic burden of life lost.**
- 15. USA Facts. California coronavirus case and deaths (2021). <https://usafacts.org/visualizations/coronavirus-COVID-19-spread-map/state/california>
- 16. Vijayan T, Shin M, Adamson PC *et al.* Beyond the 405 and the 5: geographic variations and factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 positivity rates in Los Angeles County. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 3(9), e2970–e2975 (2021).
- 17. Bonanad C, Garcia-Blas S, Tarazona-Santabalbina F *et al.* The effect of age on mortality in patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis with 611,583 subjects. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 21(17), 915–918 (2020).
- 18. United States Census Bureau. Quick facts California (2021). www.census.gov/quickfacts/CA
- 19. Webb Hooper M, Naples AM, Perez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and racial/ethnic disparities. *JAMA* 323(24), 2466–2467 (2020).
- 20. Stanford Medicine. *In California, the pandemic hits Latinos hard.* (2021). <https://med.stanford.edu/news/all-news/2021/05/in-california-the-pandemic-hits-latinos-hard.html>
- 21. Public Policy Institute of California. Who's in poverty in California? (2019). www.ppic.org/interactive/whos-in-poverty-in-california/
- 22. Chow DS, Soun JE, Glavis-Bloom J *et al.* The disproportionate rise in COVID-19 cases among Latino/Latinx in disadvantaged communities of Orange County, California: a socioeconomic case-series. *MedRxiv* doi:10.1101/2020.05.04.20090878 (2020) (Epub ahead of print).
- 23. Azar KMJ, Shen Z, Romanelli RJ *et al.* Disparities in outcomes among COVID-19 patients in a large health care system in California. *Health Aff. (Millwood)* 39(7), 1253–1262 (2020).
- 24. Best states for business 2019: California. *Forbes Magazine* (2019). www.forbes.com/places/ca/?sh=701b71f93fef
- 25. Mallow PJ. Estimates of the value of life lost from COVID-19 in Ohio. *J. Comp. Eff. Res.* 10(4), 281–284 (2021).
- 26. Lilly D, Akintorin S, Unruh LH, Dharmapuri S, Soyemi K. Years of potential life lost secondary to COVID-19: Cook County, Illinois. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 58, 124–127 (2021).
- 27. Pierce JB, Harrington K, McCabe ME *et al.* Racial/ethnic minority and neighborhood disadvantage leads to disproportionate mortality burden and years of potential life lost due to COVID-19 in Chicago, Illinois. *Health Place* 68, 102540 (2021).
- 28. Kannan SR, Spratt AN, Cohen AR *et al.* Evolutionary analysis of the Delta and Delta Plus variants of the SARS-CoV-2 viruses. *J. Autoimmun.* 124, 102715 (2021).
- 29. Jacobson SH, Jokela JA. Non-COVID-19 excess deaths by age and gender in the United States during the first three months of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Public Health* 189, 101–103 (2020).
- 30. Appa A, Rodda LN, Cawley C *et al.* Drug overdose deaths before and after shelter-in-place orders during the COVID-19 pandemic in San Francisco. *JAMA Netw. Open* 4(5), e2110452 (2021).
- 31. Viscusi WK, Aldy JE. The value of a statistical life: a critical review of market estimates throughout the world. *J. Risk Uncertain.* 27(1), 5–76 (2003).
- 32. Robinson LA, Sullivan R, Shogren JF. Do the benefits of COVID-18 policies exceed the costs? Exploring uncertainties in the age-VSL relationship. *Risk Anal.* 41(5), 761–770 (2020).